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## What is PCA?

PCA is a dimension reduction method.

Principal component analysis, or PCA, is a statistical procedure that allows you to summarize the information content in large data tables by means of a smaller set of "summary indices" that can be more easily visualized and analyzed.

## How it is used?

One dimensional:


Two dimensional:


## Two dimensional:




Question:
Which is better and why?
Is there a better way than these two projection? PCA

Two dimensional:


## Two dimensional:

A special example

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X=[1,2,3,4,5,6] \\
& Y=[1,2,3,4,5,6]
\end{aligned}
$$

## New:

$\mathrm{X}=\left[\begin{array}{lllllll}-2.5 & -1.5 & -0.5 & 0.5 & 1.5 & 2.5\end{array}\right]$ $\mathrm{Y}=\left[\begin{array}{llllll}0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right]$


## Two dimensional:

| $X$ | $Y$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| a1 | b1 |
| a2 | b2 |
| a3 | b3 |
| a4 | b4 |
| a5 | b5 |
| a6 | b6 |



## First demean:

Calculate the mean value of X and Y , and this is the center of the data.


## Second find a maximum value:

Cross the origin, we can draw many lines, but which is the one we want?


## Second find a maximum value:

Take one point for example.


Minimize the distance to the red line, maximize the distance from the projected point to the origin.

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{m} b_{i}^{2}
$$

minimum

How can do it?

Second find a maximum value:
Linear algebra can help us.
$e_{1}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
X_{2}=\boldsymbol{b} \cdot \boldsymbol{e}_{\mathbf{1}}=\binom{a_{2}}{b_{2}} \cdot\binom{e_{11}}{e_{12}}=a_{2} e_{11}+b_{2} e_{12} \\
K_{2}^{2}=\left(a_{1} e_{11}+b_{1} e_{12}\right)^{2}+\left(a_{2} e_{11}+b_{2} e_{12}\right)^{2} \\
=a_{1}^{2} e_{11}^{2}+2 a_{1} b_{1} e_{11} e_{12}+b_{1}^{2} e_{12}^{2}+a_{2}^{2} e_{11}^{2}+2 a_{2} b_{2} e_{11} e_{12}+b_{2}^{2} e_{12}^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
=\left(a_{1}^{2}+a_{2}^{2}\right) e_{11}^{2}+2\left(a_{1} b_{1}+a_{2} b_{2}\right) e_{11} e_{12}+\left(b_{1}^{2}+b_{2}^{2}\right) e_{12}^{2}
$$

$$
X_{1}^{2}+X_{2}^{2}=\boldsymbol{e}_{\mathbf{1}}^{\mathrm{T}} \underbrace{\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a_{1}^{2}+a_{2}^{2} & a_{1} b_{1}+a_{2} b_{2} \\
a_{1} b_{1}+a_{2} b_{2} & b_{1}^{2}+b_{2}^{2}
\end{array}\right)}_{P} \boldsymbol{e}_{\mathbf{1}}=\boldsymbol{e}_{\mathbf{1}}^{\mathrm{T}} P \boldsymbol{e}_{\mathbf{1}}
$$

$e_{1}, e_{2}$ is the base vector.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \boldsymbol{a}=\binom{a_{1}}{b_{1}} \quad \boldsymbol{b}=\binom{a_{2}}{b_{2}} \\
& X_{1}=\boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{e}_{\mathbf{1}}=\binom{a_{1}}{b_{1}} \cdot\binom{e_{11}}{e_{12}}=a_{1} e_{11}+b_{1} e_{12}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Second find a maximum value:

$$
X_{1}^{2}+X_{2}^{2}=\boldsymbol{e}_{\mathbf{1}}^{\mathrm{T}} \underbrace{\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a_{1}^{2}+a_{2}^{2} & a_{1} b_{1}+a_{2} b_{2} \\
a_{1} b_{1}+a_{2} b_{2} & b_{1}^{2}+b_{2}^{2}
\end{array}\right)}_{P} \boldsymbol{e}_{\mathbf{1}}=\boldsymbol{e}_{\mathbf{1}}^{\mathrm{T}} P \boldsymbol{e}_{\mathbf{1}}
$$

symmetric matrix: $\quad P=U \Sigma U^{\mathrm{T}}$
orthogonal matrix: $U U^{\mathrm{T}}=I$

$$
I_{n}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 1
\end{array}\right] \text { I: unit matrix }
$$

diagonal matrix: $\quad \Sigma=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\sigma_{1} & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_{2}\end{array}\right) \quad \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2} \quad$ singular value

## Second find a maximum value:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X_{1}^{2}+X_{2}^{2}=\boldsymbol{e}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}} P \boldsymbol{e}_{1} \\
& =\boldsymbol{e}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}} U \Sigma U^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{e}_{\mathbf{1}} \\
& =\left(U^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{1}}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \Sigma\left(U^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{e}_{\mathbf{1}}\right) \\
& \boldsymbol{n}=U^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{e}_{\mathbf{1}} \quad=\boldsymbol{n}^{\mathrm{T}} \Sigma \boldsymbol{n} \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ll}
n_{1} & n_{2}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\sigma_{1} & 0 \\
0 & \sigma_{2}
\end{array}\right)\binom{n_{1}}{n_{2}} \\
& =\sigma_{1} n_{1}^{2}+\sigma_{2} n_{2}^{2} \\
& \boldsymbol{e}_{\mathbf{1}}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
P=U \Sigma U^{\mathrm{T}} \\
\text { Singular vector corresponding to maximum singular value( } \sigma 1 \text { ) }
\end{array}\right. \\
& \boldsymbol{e}_{2}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
P=U \Sigma U^{\mathrm{T}} \\
\text { Singular vector corresponding to maximum singular value }(\sigma 2)
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Second find a maximum value:

## Simplification:

$$
X_{1}^{2}+X_{2}^{2}=\sum_{i=0}^{2} X_{i}^{2} \quad \text { covariance matrix: } \mathrm{Q}=\frac{1}{n-1}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\operatorname{Var}(X) & \operatorname{Cov}(X, Y) \\
\operatorname{Cov}(X, Y) & \operatorname{Var}(Y)
\end{array}\right)
$$

$$
\operatorname{Var}(X)=\frac{\sum(X-\bar{X})^{2}}{n-1}
$$

Compare with matrix P:

$$
\bar{X}=0
$$

$$
P=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a_{1}^{2}+a_{2}^{2} & a_{1} b_{1}+a_{2} b_{2} \\
a_{1} b_{1}+a_{2} b_{2} & b_{1}^{2}+b_{2}^{2}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
X \cdot X & X \cdot Y \\
X \cdot Y & Y \cdot Y
\end{array}\right)
$$

$\operatorname{Var}(X)=\frac{1}{n-1} \sum X_{i}^{2}$
$\operatorname{Cov}(X, Y)=\frac{1}{\mathrm{n}-2} X_{i} Y_{i}$

$$
Q=\frac{1}{\mathrm{n}-1} P=U\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{\sigma_{1}}{n} & 0 \\
0 & \frac{\sigma_{2}}{n}
\end{array}\right) U^{\mathrm{T}} \longrightarrow \begin{aligned}
& \text { eigenvector } \\
& \longmapsto
\end{aligned}
$$

Second find a maximum value:


## Application in astronomy

To estimate the wavelength-dependent continuum level, we use a principal component analysis (PCA) as described by Eilers et al. (2017). This PCA-based continuum estimate $C_{\lambda}$ is used to calculate the Ly $\alpha$ transmitted flux $F_{\alpha}=e^{-\tau_{\alpha}}$,
$F_{\alpha}=f_{\lambda} / C_{\lambda}+n_{\lambda} / C_{\lambda}$,
where $f_{\lambda}$ is the observed flux and $n_{\lambda}$ is the noise in the Q1148 ESI spectrum.

We find the best fit mean extinction curve and multiparameter families of extinction curves by finding lowdimensional subspaces of the ten dimensional space of observed reddenings that best explain the data. This procedure is essentially a weighted principal component analysis (PCA), with separate weights ( $\sigma^{-2}$ ) for each observation (Jolliffe 2002). We find these low-dimensional subspaces via the Heteroscedastic Matrix Factorization technique of Tsalmantza \& Hogg (2012) (see also Gabriel \& Zamir 1979; Roweis 1998; Tamuz et al. 2005). This technique, in contrast to classical PCA, appropriately accounts for the heteroscedastic uncertainty in the observations. In analogy with PCA, we call the vectors in these subspaces principal components, and order them according to the first subspace in which they appear.

## Useful webpages

Basic knowledgment:<br>1.Principal Component Analysis (columbia.edu)<br>2.What Is Principal Component Analysis (PCA) and How It Is Used? (sartorius.com)<br>3.A Step-by-Step Explanation of Principal Component Analysis (PCA)|Built In<br>4.https://www.zhihu.com/question/41120789

Python package:
https://scikit-learn.org/stable/modules/generated/sklearn.decomposition.PCA.html

## That's all, thank you!

